

### Peer review process too slow

The peer review process is the weakest link in the chain of scientific knowledge production. Valuable new knowledge lays untouched at reviewers' desks and editorial offices for extended periods of time. This is an unnecessary time loss in the scientific process, which otherwise has become much more efficient.

### Transparency

SciRev helps to speed up the peer review process by making it more transparent. Researchers can share their review experiences with their colleagues and select an efficient journal to publish their work. Editors can compare their journal's performance with that of others in their field.

### Comparing journals

The information provided by researchers is translated into scores. Journals can be compared on characteristics like duration of first review round, total manuscript handling time, immediate rejection time, and an overall rating of the review experience.

### Author experiences

Many researchers provide a motivation for their overall rating. These motivations paint a recognizable and sometimes revealing picture of what researchers experience in their attempts to publish their work. You can find them on [www.SciRev.org/reviews](http://www.SciRev.org/reviews)

### Create an account

Researchers can create a free SciRev account where they can administer their manuscripts under review. Editors can provide information about their journal at the journal pages.

### What you can do

Visit our website, fill in the short questionnaire, and share your review experiences with the global research community.